

Non-commutative phase and the unitarization of $GL_{p,q}(2)$

M. Arık and B.T. Kaynak

Physics Department, Boğaziçi University, Bebek, Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, the unitarization of the two-parameter deformed quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2)$ is studied. This results in a *non-commutative phase* associated with the unitarization of the quantum group. After the achievement of the quantum group $U_{p,q}(2)$ with pq real via a non-commutative phase, the representation of the algebra is built by means of the action of the operators constituting the $U_{p,q}(2)$ matrix on states.

1 Introduction

The mathematical construction of a quantum group G_q pertaining to a given Lie group G is simply a deformation of a commutative Poisson-Hopf algebra defined over G . The structure of a deformation is not only a Hopf algebra but characteristically a non-commutative algebra as well. The notion of quantum groups in physics is widely known to be the generalization of the symmetry properties of both classical Lie groups and Lie algebras, where two different mathematical blocks, namely deformation and co-multiplication, are simultaneously imposed either on the related Lie group or on the related Lie algebra. This algebraic structure firstly appeared as a q -analog of the $SU(2)$ algebra by Sklyanin, Takhtajan and Faddeev [1].

A quantum group is defined algebraically as a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. It can be either non-commutative or commutative. It is fundamentally a bi-algebra with an antipode so as to consist of either the q -deformed universal enveloping algebra of the classical Lie algebra or its dual, called the matrix quantum group, which can be understood as the q -analog of a classical matrix group [2]. One needs four axioms, namely morphisms, in order to

define a bi-algebraic structure: associativity, co-associativity, unit and co-unit. There is an additional structure, called the connecting axiom, which is needed to link the algebra to its dual one.

Although the applications of quantum groups mainly concentrate on the studies of quantum integrable models using the quantum inverse scattering method and non-commutative geometry, there have been many phenomenological applications of quantum algebras in nuclear physics, condensed matter physics, molecular physics, quantum optics and elementary particle physics. The most important and remarkable application arose from the q -deformation of the known quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator algebra. The algebraic approaches to the oscillator algebras involve the known creation, annihilation and number operators. It is worth emphasizing the importance of the algebra possessing hermitian operators, giving rise to the ability of representing the physical observables. An algebra, therefore, needs to have a $*$ structure to be interpreted as an algebra of observables.

In this paper an algebra obtained by imposing $*$ relations on the operators a, b, c and d which are the matrix elements of the quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2)$ will be considered. We are able to do this for pq real. In the limit $p = q = 1$, the operators we consider commute and become the matrix elements of $SU(2)$. This algebra is thus called $U_{p,q}(2)$. SU notation is not used since the quantum determinant is not unity. The algebra we find is a generalization of $U_{q,\bar{q}}(2)$ [3]. Representation of this algebra is constructed and the relationship of these representations to q -oscillators and to two-parameter coherent states are discussed.

2 $GL_{p,q}(2)$ And Its Unitary Form $U_{q,\bar{q}}(gl(2))$

2.1 $GL_{p,q}(2)$

The representation matrix and the algebra of the two-parameter deformed quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2)$ are defined in the following way [4, 5]

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$ab = qba \quad ac = pca \quad bd = pdb \quad cd = qdc$$

$$ad - da = (q - p^{-1})bc \quad bc = pq^{-1}cb. \quad (2.2)$$

The co-multiplication Δ , the co-unit ε , and the antipode (matrix inverse) S , whose bi-algebra is generated by the matrix elements a , b , c , and d , are given by

$$\Delta(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a \otimes a + b \otimes c & a \otimes b + b \otimes d \\ c \otimes a + d \otimes c & c \otimes b + d \otimes d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\varepsilon(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$S(A) = \delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d & -p^{-1}b \\ -pc & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -q^{-1}b \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix} \delta^{-1}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the quantum determinant of A is defined by

$$\delta \equiv \det_{p,q} A = ad - qbc = ad - pcb. \quad (2.6)$$

The co-product of the quantum determinant is given by

$$\Delta(\delta) = \delta \otimes \delta. \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 $U_{q,\bar{q}}(2)$

A unitarized form of $GL_{p,q}(2)$, named $U_{\bar{q},q}(2)$, can be found in Jagannathan and Van Der Jeugt [3]. The fundamental A -matrix of the quantum group is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{q}\delta c^* \\ c & \delta a^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -qc^*\delta \\ c & a^*\delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

where the matrix elements satisfy

$$ac = \bar{q}ca \quad a\delta = \delta a \quad ac^* = qc^*a \quad \delta c^* = e^{2i\theta}c^*\delta \quad cc^* = c^*c,$$

$$\delta^*\delta = \delta\delta^* = 1 \quad aa^* + |q|^2 c^*c = 1 \quad a^*a + c^*c = 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $q = |q|e^{i\theta}$, $p = \bar{q}$ and θ is a phase. The case $\delta = 1$ which also implies $\theta = 0$ corresponds to $SU_q(2)$.

3 The Unitarization of the Quantum Group $GL_{p,q}(2)$ with $p \neq \bar{q}$

In order to obtain $SU_q(2)$, elements of the fundamental A -matrix, $A \in GL_q(2, \mathbb{C})$, are chosen in such a way that $A^* = A^{-1} = 1$. This choice brings about a restriction on the elements of the matrix such that $b = -qc^*$ and $d = a^*$. The procedure applied to the quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to carry out the unitarization is similar to the one applied to the one-parameter deformed quantum group in order to transform $GL_q(2, \mathbb{C})$ into $U_q(2)$ but it is not completely the same. The most important point of the procedure we have studied is that the matrix of the quantum group should be factorized into a product which consists of the square root of the quantum determinant and a new matrix whose determinant is unity. The next step is to impose the unitarity condition on the new matrix, resulting in finding the relation between the elements of this matrix as in the $U_q(2)$ case. Lastly, the relations between the original matrix elements can be achieved through the relations between the new ones obtained after the unitarization of the matrix. This leads to the matrix elements b and d being respectively replaced by a combination of c^* and a^* multiplied by some real parameters, and a unitary operator u . The new fundamental A -matrix of the unitary quantum group is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{q}s^{-1}uc^* \\ c & s^{-1}ua^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where p , q and s are defined by

$$p = \frac{r}{t}e^{i\theta} \quad q = rte^{-i\theta} \quad s = t^k, \quad (3.2)$$

where r , t , θ and k are real independent parameters. The parameters r and t are positive by definition. Furthermore, k is an integer and θ is a phase angle. The whole algebra of the quantum group, which the matrix elements obey, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ac &= \frac{r}{t}e^{i\theta}ca & a^*c^* &= \frac{t}{r}e^{i\theta}c^*a^* & ac^* &= rt^{2k+1}e^{-i\theta}c^*a & ca^* &= rt^{2k+1}e^{i\theta}a^*c \\ cc^* &= t^{2k+2}c^*c & aa^* - t^{2k}a^*a &= (1 - r^2)cc^* \\ ua &= t^{2k}au & a^*u^* &= t^{2k}u^*a^* & ua^* &= t^{2k}a^*u & au^* &= t^{2k}u^*a \\ uc &= t^{2k}e^{2i\theta}uc & c^*u^* &= t^{2k}e^{-2i\theta}u^*c^* & uc^* &= t^{2k}e^{-2i\theta}c^*u & cu^* &= t^{2k}e^{2i\theta}u^*c \\ uu^* &= u^*u = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

It can be easily checked that with these relations a , c and b , d defined by (3.1) satisfy the commutation relations (2.2) of $GL_{p,q}(2)$. The special case where $t = 1$, $\theta = 0$, $k = 0$, and therefore $p = q = r$ corresponds to the $SU_q(2)$ algebra for which it is necessary that $q \in (0, 1)$ whereas the case $k = 0$, $t = 1$ corresponds to $U_{q,\bar{q}}(2)$ discussed in Section (2.2).

4 The Representation of the Quantum Group

$$U_{p,q}(2)$$

The operators constituting an $SU_q(2)$ matrix which corresponds to $\delta = 1$, q real in (2.8) can be represented by their action on states $|n, m\rangle$ where n is non-negative integer corresponding to the particle number associated with the creation operator a^* and m is a positive or negative integer associated with the Fourier transform of c [6]. This serves two purposes. One is that it proves the algebra presented in the previous section is consistent. The second is that it gives physical insight on the oscillator properties of the operators. The action of the operators a , a^* and c , c^* of $SU_q(2)$ on the states $|n, m\rangle$ is given by

$$a |n, m\rangle = \sqrt{1 - q^{2n}} |n - 1, m\rangle , \quad (4.1)$$

$$a^* |n, m\rangle = \sqrt{1 - q^{2n+2}} |n + 1, m\rangle , \quad (4.2)$$

$$c |n, m\rangle = q^n |n, m - 1\rangle , \quad (4.3)$$

$$c^* |n, m\rangle = q^n |n, m + 1\rangle . \quad (4.4)$$

Motivated by this, we look for a representation of the algebra (3.3) on such states. The following representation has been found

$$c |n, m\rangle = r^n (te^{-i\theta})^{m-1} |n, m - (k + 1)\rangle , \quad (4.5)$$

$$c^* |n, m\rangle = r^n (te^{i\theta})^{m+k} |n, m + (k + 1)\rangle , \quad (4.6)$$

$$a |n, m\rangle = \sqrt{1 - r^{2n}} (te^{-i\theta})^m |n - 1, m - k\rangle , \quad (4.7)$$

$$a^* |n, m\rangle = \sqrt{1 - r^{2n+2}} (te^{i\theta})^{m+k} |n + 1, m + k\rangle , \quad (4.8)$$

$$u |n, m\rangle = e^{i(k-2m)\theta} |n, m - 2k\rangle , \quad (4.9)$$

$$u^* |n, m\rangle = e^{i(2m+3k)\theta} |n, m + 2k\rangle , \quad (4.10)$$

which explicitly shows that u is actually a *non-commutative unitary phase operator*. It can be easily seen setting $t = 1$, $\theta = 0$, $k = 0$ leads to $p = q = r$. The representation above then reduces to the representation (4.1–4.4).

5 Conclusion

The most interesting aspect of our construction is the appearance of the *non-commutative phase* described by the *unitary operator* u . The work of [6] was motivated by generalizing $SU_q(2)$, resulting in introducing a parameter p and operator d satisfying

$$d|n, m\rangle = p^{1-m}|n, m-1\rangle. \quad (5.1)$$

The action of this operator has some resemblance to the action of the quantum determinant δ in (2.6) which can be shown to be given by

$$\delta = s^{-1}u(a^*a + p^{-1}\bar{q}c^*c), \quad (5.2)$$

$$\delta|n, m\rangle = (t^{-1}e^{i\theta})^{k-2m}|n, m-2k\rangle. \quad (5.3)$$

[6] claimed that the operator d can be interpreted as a deformation of a phase operator. The work of this paper shows that a rigorous foundation for a non-commutative unitary phase operator lies in the two-parameter deformed quantum group.

Whether important applications such as the quantum phase operator for a quantized boson can be incorporated into this formalism will be the subject of further research.

References

- [1] E. Sklyanin, L. Takhtajan, and L. Faddeev, Quantum Inverse Problem Method I., *Theor. Math. Phys.* 40 (1979) 688–706.
- [2] L.C. Biedenharn and M.A. Lohe, *Quantum Group Symmetry and q-Tensor Algebras*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [3] R. Jagannathan and J. Van der Jeugt, Finite Dimensional Representation of the Quantum Group $GL_{p,q}(2)$ using the Exponential Map from $U_{p,q}(gl(2))$, *J. Phys.* A28 (1995) 2819–2832.
- [4] A. Schirmacher, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, The Two-parameter Deformation of $GL(2)$, Its Differential Calculus and Lie Algebra, *Zeit. f. Physik* C49 (1991) 317–324.
- [5] V.K. Dobrev, Duality for the Matrix Quantum Group $GL_{p,q}(2, \mathbb{C})$, *J. Math. Phys.* 33 (1992) 3419–3430.
- [6] M. Arık and C. Kılıç, Extension of the q-deformed Oscillator and Two-parameter Coherent States, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 34 (2001) 7221–7226.